

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/650,135	08/28/2003	Tetsurou Hamada	00682P0072US	6331		
32116 7	590 03/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			MILLER, CA	MILLER, CARL STUART		
500 W. MADIS SUITE 3800	SON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, II	60661		3747			

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>						
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	6	Ń		
Office Action Summan		10/650,	135	HAMADA ET AL.		ソ		
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Carl S. N		3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of sIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common ender the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 80) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	nety filed  rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	munication.			
Status					•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a)□		2b)☐ This action is	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the aday of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from c						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or t	o) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to				• •			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental depth of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
Attachmen	` '				-			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or rr No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/650,135

Art Unit: 3747

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claims 1 and 13 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising Figures 4, 7, 9, 10, 11A-B, 12 16A-B, 18 and 20, respectively. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

، بالدول والكالي بالمراجعة الكالي المراجعة الكالي